OH 233:1.1994

Two Questions on the Timing of Prayer Services: How Late May One Recite Minhah and How Early May One Recite Maariv?

Rabbi Gerald Skolnik

This paper was approved on May 25, 1994, by a vote of ten in favor, one opposed and six abstaining (10-1-6). Voting in favor: Rabbis Elliot N. Dorff, Jan Caryl Kaufman, Judah Kogen, Vernon H. Kurtz, Paul Plotkin, Mayer Rabinowitz, Avram Israel Reisner, Joel Roth, Gerald Skolnik, and Gordon Tucker. Voting against: Rabbi Kassel Abelson. Abstaining: Rabbis Ben Zion Bergman, Myron S. Geller, Arnold M. Goodman, Aaron L. Mackler, Lionel E. Moses, and Joel E. Rembaum.

The Committee on Jewish Law and Standards of the Rabbinical Assembly provides guidance in matters of halakhah for the Conservative movement. The individual rabbi, however, is the authority for the interpretation and application of all matters of halakhah.

שאלה

With regard to the timing of weekday afternoon and evening services, two questions have been addressed to our Committee.

- (1) May the מנחה service be recited after sundown?
- (2) May the מעריב service be recited before sundown?

תשובה

Regarding these questions, two equally valid options have been endorsed by the Shulhan Arukh and subsequent פוסקים:

ואסיקנא דעביד כמר עביד ודעביד כמר עביד.

And it has been decided, that one who practices according to the one sage, let his practice be that way; and if another practices according to the other sage's opinion, then his practice is also legitimate.¹

Both of these opinions are grounded in the original mention and subsequent discussion of this issue in Mishnah Brakhot 4:1 and Massekhet Brakhot 27a.

¹ S.A. Orah Hayyim 233:1.

The first option, that of Rabbi Yehuda, is predicated on a system of שעות זמניות ("seasonally correct hours" calculated by totaling the number of minutes from sunrise to sunset and dividing by twelve). Rabbi Yehudah holds that, although one who davens the מנחה service from six and one-half שעות זמניות onward has fulfilled his obligation – during what is commonly referred to as the time of שעות זמניות – the truly appropriate time for the recitation of מנחה is from nine and one-half חשנות זמניות until מנחה between this nine and one-half hour starting point and sunset). This relatively narrow window of time, commonly referred to as aענחה קטנה מנחה מנחה לווים, אינחה שעות זמניות נווים שעות זמניות ten and three-quarter שעות זמניות section. During what is therefore, by definition, one and one-quarter מנחה before sunset, but Rabbi Yehudah would nonetheless allow for the immediate subsequent recitation of מעריב מנחה.

דזהו דבר פשוט דכשכלה זמן תפילת מנחה מתחיל זמן תפילת ערבית כן הסכימו רבותינו התוספות הרא״ש וכל הפוסקים.

It is a simple and obvious matter that once the time for reciting מנחה has passed, the time for reciting מעריב has begun. On this matter, the Tosafot, the Rosh and all of the פרסקים agree.²

The only stipulation for this early recitation of מעריב is that after צאת הכוכבים (the visible appearance of three stars in the sky) one should repeat the recitation of the שמע (all three paragraphs) without any of the accompanying blessings. This owes to the fact that the true time for reciting the evening שמע is after three stars have been seen in the sky.³

The second option sanctioned by the Shulhan Arukh is that of the הכמים. Like Rabbi Yehudah, the מנחה also maintain that, although the truest beginning time for מנחה is nine and one-half מנחה one who recites מנחה from six and one-half שעות זמניות onward has fulfilled his obligation. (This earlier time is when the afternoon *tamid* sacrifice was offered in cases when Erev Pesah fell on Shabbat.)⁴ Unlike Rabbi Yehudah, however, the onder the action action action action action between the action action action hold that הכמים may be recited until שקיעה (sunset), and הכמים immediately thereafter. If מעריב is recited between sunset and the appearance of three stars, then the שמע would still have to be repeated at a later hour, after dark. One could, however, obviate that need by reciting מנחה up to the time of sunset and then waiting until the appearance of three stars to recite stars.

With regard to both of these opinions, the sources are clear that either one is a valid option (a precedent for more than one valid option which long predates our own Committee!). The only caveat offered by the Shulhan Arukh is that consistency is called for (געריה המניהויהוי). The only caveat offered by the Shulhan Arukh is that consistency is called for (געריה מניהיהוי). That is to say, one should adopt either the position of Rabbi Yehudah or that of them"). That is to say, one should adopt either the position of Rabbi Yehudah or that of the שלג המנחה and practice it consistently, so as to avoid reciting הרמים after הכמים and practice it consistently, so as to avoid reciting הכמים after שלג המנחה before sundown on the same day. However, both the Shulhan Arukh and the gloss of the Remah make it clear that, either שעת הדחק – ה an emergency – or שריעבר one has already done so), such inconsistency does not render the prayer invalid. The Arukh Hashulhan, quoting the Magen Avraham, also makes reference to the existing practice of davening a minyan together a second time.⁵

⁴ See Rambam, M.T. Hilkhot T'fillah 3:1.

⁵ Arukh Hashulhan, Orah Hayyim 233:10.

² Arukh Hashulhan, Orah Hayyim 233:1.

³ Ibid., Orah Hayyim 235:8.

Conclusion

One cannot help but assume that the reason for these questions having reached the CJLS is an effort to stretch the parameters of acceptable times for evening prayers, so as to more easily accommodate the realities of our congregants' schedules – particularly as regards the issue of reciting attended to a the action of the day that earlier. During the winter months, this virtually assures that the minyan will be taking place when it is already dark out. Are we allowed to wait until people get home from work and eat, and then do a מנחה service because that is when we can get a minyan? The answer to that question must be no, as the sources are clear, and there is no overwhelming reason to call such a clear ruling into question.

A final thought: If the rationale behind the question of a late מנחה is to accommodate the Kaddish need of mourners, then whether or not מנחה is recited, it is important to remember that the *yahrzeit* which fell on the preceding Jewish date has already concluded once darkness has descended. In that context, the Kaddish which would be recited after motivated by a desire is to add as many קרישים as possible to a service so as to elevate the soul of the departed closer to ultimate reunification with the Source of all spirit, according to the mystical understanding of Kaddish, then in lieu of forcing into an inappropriately late slot, a better idea comes to mind. A איר הבר הבר מנרה מעריב besisting and the problem has been at least slightly ameliorated. It has also been suggested in this regard that, from a spiritual perspective, Torah study dedicated to a person's memory on his or her *yahrzeit* is as efficacious in elevating the soul of the departed as is the recitation of Kaddish. In the absence of a minyan at the appropriate time, this affords another option.

⁶ See Shaarei Teshuvah, S.A. Orah Hayyim 344.